

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

| APPLICATION NO.                    | LICATION NO. FILING DATE |                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/944,745 08/31/2001              |                          | Christian Weber | 10191/1908           | 1989                |                  |  |
| 26646                              | 7590                     | 11/18/2003      |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| KENYON &                           |                          | Ν ,             | LIU, JOSHUA C        |                     |                  |  |
| ONE BROADWAY<br>NEW YORK, NY 10004 |                          |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                    | ,                        |                 |                      | 2121                |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                                      |   |   |  |   | 1.       |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                                      | · •   | Application No  | ). <b>(</b>  | Applicant(s)  | 7        |  |  |  |  |  |
| ••                                   | •   | 09/944,745  |  | WEBER, CHRISTIAN  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  |  | Art Unit  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      |   | Joshua C Liu  |  | 2121  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period                               | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>for Reply  | pears on the cov  | er sheet with the d  | correspondence address  | -        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | HORTENED STATUTORY PÉRIOD FOR REPL  | Y IS SET TO EX  | (PIRE 3 MONTH  | (S) FROM  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE - Ex aft - If t - If t - Fa - An | E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ten SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period illure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, hor old within the statutory makes will apply and will expire, cause the application | wever, may a reply be tin<br>ninimum of thirty (30) day<br>e SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>to become ABANDONE | nely filed  /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status                               |   |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)∑                                  |   |   |  | <u>00)</u> .  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)[                                 | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ TI   | his action is non-  | final.   |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□                                  | Since this application is in condition for allow<br>closed in accordance with the practice under  |   |  |   | its is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispos                               | ition of Claims   | Ex parte Quayre   | o, 1000 O.B. 11, -   | +00 O.G. 210.   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠                                  | Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application   | n.  |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)[                                  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)∑                                  | Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)[                                  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| •                                    | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requir  | ement.   |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| • • _                                | ation Papers  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| •                                    | The specification is objected to by the Examine   |   |  | <b>5</b>  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| -10) <u> </u> Z                      | The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/31/2001</u> is/are: a)   |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)                                  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/                                  | If approved, corrected drawings are required in re  |   |  | oved by the Examiner.   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)                                  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| •                                    | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| _                                    | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  | ın priority under :   | 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | a)-(d) or (f).  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,                                    | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:  | , . ,   | 3  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documen  | its have been red   | ceived.  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen  |   |  | ion No  |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| •                                    | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a light   | ureau (PCT Rule   | : 17.2(a)).  | _   | ;        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | See the attached detailed Office action for a list  |   | Ż  |   | cation)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14)                                  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest<br>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr  | •   |  |   | Jauuri). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15)[                                 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes   |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachm                              | ent(s)  |   |  |   |          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 No                              | tice of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 4) [<br>5) [<br>  |  | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Patent Application (PTO-152)   |          |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/944,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-10 have been examined.

# **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Germany on September 2, 2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 100 43 254.9 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# **Drawings**

- 3. The drawings are objected to because
  - ➤ Elements 10-34 in Fig. 1 do not have explanatory labels.
  - Fig. 2-3 contain explanatory labels in German, which should be translated into English.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - > On Pg. 3 L. 4, "8" should be "18."
  - On Pg. 4 L. 15, "input" should be "inputted."
  - > On Pg. 4 L. 14, Pg. 6 L. 18, and Pg. 7 L. 8, translate "OFFVORL" into English.

# Claim Objections

5. Claims 5 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

,

Art Unit: 2121

- In claim 5, "futher" should be "further."
- Claim 10 recites "a storage medium" on L. 1. The Examiner advises that the Applicant substitute "a storage medium" with "a computer-readable storage medium" to avoid a bar for non-statutory invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kadlec et al (US Patent Number 5,914,830; Issued 6/22/1999).

#### Claim 1

### Claim 1 recites

and

A method for driving a hysteresis-exhibiting final controlling element, comprising the steps of:

- (a) driving the final controlling element by a drive signal having a variable drive quantity;
- (b) correcting the variable drive quantity as a function of a change thereof over time.

Claim 1 is anticipated by Kadlec, wherein Kadlec teaches:

- > A method for position control, comprising the steps of:
- (a) See (Kadlec Fig 1A; Col 10 L. 24-42, "Referring again... preventing instability."); and
- (b) See (Kadlec Col 10 L. 43-Col 11 L. 6, "In those implementation... a given track.").

Art Unit: 2121

# Claim 2

Claim 2 recites "The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of: forming an offset value signal on the basis of the change over time of the variable drive quantity, wherein: the step of correcting is performed in accordance with the offset value signal," which is anticipated by Kadlec:

See §102 rejection of claim 1, supra, and (Kadlec Col 11 L. 1-6, "The error signal... a given track."; Col 20 L. 30-53, "D.C. Input... the sensor offsets."; Col 28 L. 7-16, "Referring to FIG. 23A... function of time."; Fig. 5B and 10A-C; Col 29 L. 61-Col 30 L. 29, "Referring now... compensation block."; Col 33 L. 32-37, "Broadly,... converge to zero."; Col 34 L. 6-14, "Assuming the servo... on a path.").

# Claim 3

Claim 3 recites "The method according to claim 2, further comprising the step of: limiting the offset value signal to a maximum offset value," which is anticipated by Kadlec:

> See §102 rejection of claim 2, *supra*, and (Kadlec Col 19 L. 48-59, "Had Load Algorithm... the master controller."; Col 22 L. 32-35, "Reference Velocity Deceleration... end of seeks."; Fig. 10A-C).

#### Claim 4

Claim 4 recites "The method according to claim 3, wherein: the maximum offset value is variable and is changed as a function of zero crossings of the offset value signal," which is anticipated by Kadlec:

Art Unit: 2121

➤ See §102 rejection of claim 3, supra, and (Kadlec Col 18 L. 40-49, "The gain path... zero crossing detection."; Fig. 10A-C; Col 29 L. 55-60, "The zero crossing... signal u(k).").

### Claim 5

Claim 5 recites "The method according to claim 4, further comprising the step of: reducing the maximum offset value when a counter reading exceeds a predetermined threshold value within a predefined time between two of the zero crossings," which is anticipated by Kadlec:

See §102 rejection of claim 4, supra, and (Kadlec Col 28 L. 30-37, "At time T₂,... remains inactive."; Fig. 23A).

#### Claim 6

Claim 6 recites "The method according to claim 5, wherein: the counter reading is formed from the offset value signal," which is anticipated by Kadlec:

➤ See §102 rejection of claim 5, supra, and (Kadlec Col 28 L. 27-34, "Assuming the motion... remains inactive.").

# Claim 7

Claim 7 recites "The method according to claim 6, wherein: the maximum offset value is reduced more quickly when a second, higher threshold value is exceeded," which is anticipated by Kadlec:

See §102 rejection of claim 6, *supra*, and (Kadlec Col 28 L. 12-16, "FIG. 23A... function of time."; Fig. 19 and 23A; Col 70 L. 15-18, "1. If the positional... to measure."; Col 71 L. 35-47, "If, however,... the main loop.").

Art Unit: 2121

#### Claim 8

Claim 8 recites "The method according to claim 2, wherein: the offset value signal corresponds to a value of the change over time of the variable drive quantity," which is anticipated by Kadlec:

➤ See §102 rejection of claim 2, *supra*, and (Kadlec Fig. 10A-C; Col 11 L. 1-6, "The error signal... a given track.").

#### Claim 9

#### Claim 9 recites

A device for driving a final controlling element exhibiting hysteresis, comprising:

(a) a control device that includes at least one microcomputer and that forms a variable drive signal quantity for driving the final controlling element in accordance with at least one program executed by the at least one microcomputer, wherein:

(i) the at least one program corrects the variable drive signal quantity as a function of a change thereof over time.

Claim 9 is anticipated by Kadlec, wherein Kadlec teaches:

- > A device for driving a final controlling element exhibiting hysteresis, comprising:
- (a) See (Kadlec Fig 1A; Col 10 L. 24-42, "Referring again... preventing instability."); and
- (i) See (Kadlec Col 10 L. 43-Col 11 L. 6, "In those implementation... a given track."; Col 33 L. 32-37, "Broadly... converge to zero.").

#### Claim 10

#### Claim 10 recites

and

A storage medium in which a computer program is stored, the computer program causing a processing device to perform the steps of:

- (a) driving a final controlling element by a drive signal having a variable drive quantity;
- (b) correcting the variable drive quantity as a function of a change thereof over time.

Art Unit: 2121

Claim 10 is anticipated by Kadlec, wherein Kadlec teaches:

- A computer-readable storage medium in which a computer program is stored (Kadlec Fig. 1A; Col 33 L. 32-37, "Broadly,... converge to zero."), the computer program causing a processing device to perform the steps of:
- (a) See (Kadlec Fig 1A; Col 10 L. 24-42, "Referring again... preventing instability."); and
- (b) See (Kadlec Col 10 L. 43-Col 11 L. 6, "In those implementation... a given track.").

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua C Liu whose telephone number is (703) 305-6435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anil Khatri can be reached on (703) 305-0282. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

jΙ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER